



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/767,661

01/29/2004

Michael Svilar

10761.1467

5386

81331 7590 11/28/2008

Accenture/Finnegan, Henderson,  
Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP  
901 New York Avenue  
Washington, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

MCPHILLIP, ADRIAN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/28/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/767,661	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SVILAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Adrian J. McPhillip	<b>Art Unit</b> 3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Adrian J. McPhillip. (3) John Sieman.

(2) Beth Boswell. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: Graph illustrating the display features of applicant's claimed invention.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: All applied prior art.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendments in response to previous office action, and how the proposed amendments distinguish the claims over the cited prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Beth V. Boswell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623
--	---